

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/964,984	09/26/2001	Philip Sicola	R255-DB	2964		
7:	590 10/01/2003			2.		
David A. Belasco, Esq. BEEHLER & PAVITT Suite 330			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			KILKENNY, TODD J			
100 Corporate 1 Culver City, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Cu	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	1733	·		
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	1		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1		# S				
	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	,				
Office Action Summary		/964,984	SICOLA, PHILIP					
		amin r	Art Unit					
		ld J. Kilkenny	1733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p - Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF Status	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). to of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply within maximum statutory period will apperiod for reply will, by statute, cause three months after the mailing date of	In no event, however, may the statutory minimum of ly and will expire SIX (6) No the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely IONTHS from the mailing date of this co					
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .		tion is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	• .		natters prosecution as to th	o morits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are withdrawn from	om consideration.	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	ved.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are obje	cted to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and			2 0 440() (1) (0					
13) Acknowledgment is made		nty under 35 U.S.C	ک. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ □								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
	the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)	for comodic pin	,	33 1. and and or 121.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P			ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(of Informal Patent Application (PTO					



Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 15, 21 and 23 26, drawn to an apparatus for forming curved
 laminations, classified in class 269, subclass 289.
 - Claims 16 20 and 22, drawn to a method for forming curved laminations, classified in class 156, subclass 296.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed be used to practice another and materially different process such as process that forms a curved lamination through mechanical connection as opposed to gluing and/or wherein as opposed to veneer portions, metal components are worked upon.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1733

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If applicant elects Group I from the restriction above,

Species A_1 , wherein the curved upper surface is defined by at least a first die board as apparently required in claims 1 - 15 and 23 - 26.

Species A₂, wherein the curved upper surface is defined by the plurality of support members each having a height equal to the height of the upper curve profile desired as apparently required in claim 21.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 – 18, 24 – 30, 36, 37 and 43 are generic.

If applicant elects Group II from the restriction above,

Species A_1 , wherein the curved upper surface is defined by at least a first die board as apparently required in claims 16 - 20.

Species A₂, wherein the curved upper surface is defined by the plurality of support members each having a height equal to the height of the upper curve profile desired as apparently required in claim 21.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Art Unit: 1733

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Beehler and Pavitt to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1733

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd J. Kilkenny whose telephone number is (703) **305-6386**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9 - 5).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

TJK

GROUP 1300